Assessment of Chesapeake Climate Change Using A Suite of Airshed, Watershed, and Estuary Models

NCER Albuquerque, NM

April 18, 2024

Lew Linker (EPA-CBPO), Gopal Bhatt (Penn State), Richard Tian (UMCES), Jesse Bash (EPA-ORD), Carl F. Cerco (Attain), Isabella Bertani (UMCES), Joseph Zhang (VMS), Xun Cai (VIMS), Gregorio Toscano Pulido (MSU)

linker.lewis@epa.gov



Chesapeake Bay Program

Science, Restoration, Partnership

The CBP Climate Change Assessment



Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

Overview of Bay Designated Uses





Elements of Chesapeake Water Quality Climate Risk Assessment

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership



Chesapeake Bay Program

Science, Restoration, Partnership

Components of Climate Change Effect on Tidal Hypoxia



Approaches, Methods, and Findings from the Watershed

Chesapeake Bay Program

Science, Restoration, Partnership

An ensemble of GCM projections from BCSD CMIP5[1] was used to estimate 1995-2025 temperature change.

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

Data unavailable
GCM Used
Selection updated

Updated Ensemble members		
ACCESS1-0	FGOALS-g2	IPSL-CM5A-LR
BCC-CSM1-1	FIO-ESM	IPSL-CM5A-MR
BCC-CSM1-1-M	GFDL-CM3	IPSL-CM5B-LR
BNU-ESM	GFDL-ESM2G	MIROC-ESM
CanESM2	GFDL-ESM2M	MIROC-ESM-CHEM
CCSM4	GISS-E2-H-CC	MIROC5
CESM1-BGC	GISS-E2-R	MPI-ESM-LR
CESM1-CAM5	GISS-E2-R-CC	**MPI-ESM-MR**
CMCC-CM	HadGEM2-AO	MRI-CGCM3
CNRM-CM5	HadGEM2-CC	NorESM1-M
CSIRO-MK3-6-0	HadGEM2-ES	
EC-EARTH	INMCM4	

31 member ensemble

[1] BCSD – Bias Correction Spatial Disaggregation;
[1] CMIP5 – Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5

Source: Kyle Hinson, VIMS

Reclamation, 2013. 'Downscaled CMIP3 and CMIP5 Climate and Hydrology Projections: Release of Downscaled CMIP5 Climate Projections, Comparison with preceding Information, and Summary of User Needs', prepared by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Technical Services Center, Denver, Colorado. 47pp.

For the 2025 Climate Change Estimate:

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

The trends in annual precipitation on a county level were developed through the application of PRISM data and analysis provided and recommended by Jason Lynch, EPA, and Karen Rice, USGS. The annual PRISM dataset for the years 1927 to 2014 (88 years) were used in for the regression trend analysis. For the analysis PRISM data were first spatially aggregated for each Phase 6 land segments. The Phase 6 land segments typically represent a county. For each land segment a simple linear trend was fitted to the annual rainfall dataset.





Annual rainfall volumes for the 88-year period linear regression lines are shown in red for the two land segments (counties) – (a) Centre County in Pennsylvania and (b) District of Columbia. The values for the slope of the regression lines, and the corresponding 30-year projections in the rainfall volume (1995 to 2025) are also shown.

Source: Section 12 of Phase 6 Documentation

Assessment of Influence of 2025 Climate Change in the Watershed

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership





Projections of rainfall increase using trend in 88-years of annual PRISM[1] data

Change in Rainfall Volume 2021-2030 vs. 1991-2000

Major Basins	PRISM Trend
Youghiogheny River	2.1%
Patuxent River Basin	3.3%
Western Shore	4.1%
Rappahannock River Basin	3.2%
York River Basin	2.6%
Eastern Shore	2.5%
James River Basin	2.2%
Potomac River Basin	2.8%
Susquehanna River Basin	3.7%
Chesapeake Bay Watershed	**3.1%**

[1] Parameter-elevation Relationships on Independent Slopes Model

1940-2014 streamflow trends based on observations

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

The study analyzed USGS GAGES-II data for a subset of Hydro-Climatic Data Network 2009 (HCDN-2009).





Karen C. Rice, Douglas L. Moyer, and Aaron L. Mills, 2017. Riverine discharges to Chesapeake Bay: Analysis of long-term (1927 - 2014) records and implications for future flows in the Chesapeake Bay basin *JEM* 204 (2017) 246-254

USGS station ID	Precipitation Slope	Precipitation p-value	Discharge Slope	Discharge p-value
04252500	0.0007	**0.0011**	0.0021	**<0.0001**
01512500	0.0008	**0.0007**	0.0016	**0.0028**
01503000	0.0007	**0.0022**	0.0013	**0.0181**
01531000	0.0006	**0.0219**	0.0018	**0.0030**
01531500	0.0007	**0.0044**	0.0016	**0.0029**
01532000	0.0006	**0.0374**	0.0015	**0.0330**
01534000	0.0005	**0.0497**	0.0015	**0.0120**
01550000	0.0005	**0.0493**	0.0019	**0.0015**
01543000	0.0004	0.1000	0.0018	**0.0058**
01545500	0.0004	0.0953	0.0017	**0.0026**
01536500	0.0006	**0.0078**	0.0016	**0.0027**
01551500	0.0005	0.0612	0.0017	**0.0017**
01439500	0.0005	0.0972	0.0007	0.1661
01541500	0.0003	0.2357	0.0017	**0.0017**
01540500	0.0006	**0.0111**	0.0016	**0.0023**
01541000	0.0004	0.0985	0.0016	**0.0021**
01567000	0.0004	0.1577	0.0011	**0.0250**
01570500	0.0005	**0.0260**	0.0013	**0.0088**
North-South Split				
01562000	0.0004	0.1693	0.0007	0.2082
01638500	0.0004	0.1150	0.0008	0.1026
01608500	0.0004	0.1725	0.0010	0.0833
01636500	0.0005	0.1245	0.0008	0.0624
01606500	0.0003	0.1958	0.0009	0.1108
01668000	0.0006	0.0794	0.0004	0.4727
02035000	0.0003	0.2653	−0.0001	0.8243
02019500	0.0002	0.4333	0.0003	0.4836
03488000	0.0003	0.2480	0.0006	0.2841

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2016. Climate change indicators in the United States, 2016. Fourth edition. EPA 430-R-16-004. www.epa.gov/climate-indicators.

Annual average percent change were calculated using Sen slope (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002).

Lins, H.F. 2012. USGS Hydro-Climatic Data Network 2009 (HCDN-2009). U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2012-3047. https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3047.
Helsel, D.R., and R.M. Hirsch. 2002. Statistical methods in water resources. Techniques of water resources investigations, Book 4. Chap. A3. U.S. Geological Survey. https://pubs.usgs.gov/twri/twri4a3.

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

Trends in Observed Rainfall Intensity



Observed changes in rainfall intensity in the Chesapeake region over the last century. The equal allocation distribution (blue) is contrasted with the distribution obtained based on observed changes (red).

Source: Groisman et al. 2004

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

Chesapeake Bay Watershed Annual Change in Temperature





Temperature trends for the six CBP states

Annual temperature for 1895 to 2015 are shown.

Annual Temperature
Trend Line
95% Confidence Limits













Approx. increases over the last 30 years based on the trend line are shown.

NOAA National Climatic Data Center
https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/state-temps/

Chesapeake Bay Program

Science, Restoration, Partnership

Elements of 2025 Climate Change (1995-2025)

+3.11 % Increase in rainfall



Phase 6 Watershed Model

Flow

2.4% Increase

Nitrogen Load

2.6% Increase

Phosphorus Load

4.5% Increase

Sediment Load

3.8% Increase

Air-temperature increase: 1.06 °C



Model: CH3D-ICM
400m-1km Resolution

Sea Level Rise: 0.22m

Open boundary:
Temperature: +0.95 °C;
Salinity: +0.18 psu
(Thomas et al., 2017)

Elements of 2035 Climate Change (1995-2035)

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

Air-temperature increase: 1.39 °C

+4.21 % Increase in rainfall



Phase 6 Watershed Model

Flow
3.7% Increase

Nitrogen Load
4.7% Increase

Phosphorus Load
9.9% Increase

Sediment Load
8.5% Increase

Model: CH3D-ICM
400m-1km Resolution

Sea Level Rise: 0.31m

Open boundary:
Temperature: +1.32 °C;
Salinity: +0.25 psu
(Thomas et al., 2017)

Estimates of Climate Only and Climate and Land Use

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership



Grey bar = climate only   Black bar = Climate and Land Use

Approaches, Methods, and Findings from the Tidal Bay

Chesapeake Bay Program
Science, Restoration, Partnership

Summer (Jun.-Sep.) Hypoxia Volume (<1 mg/l) 1991-2000 in the Whole Bay Under 2025 WIP3 Condition



18

Chesapeake Bay Program

Science, Restoration, Partnership

Bottom DO Change: 1995 to 2025

Keeping all other factors constant, sea level rise and increased watershed flow reduce hypoxia in the Bay, but the predominant influence are the negative impacts of increased water column temperature.



Climate Target Loads in Perspective





Overall, the CBP found that a target load of 5 million pounds nitrogen and 0.6 million pounds phosphorus will be sufficient to offset 30 years of climate change in the Chesapeake Bay.

Model load reduction estimates from CAST-2019 (current version of the CBP watershed model)

ATLAS 14 vs OBSERVED



Fischbach et al. (2020) https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RRA564-1.html

CLIMATE CHANGE-INFORMED IDF CURVES

Data Tool: https://midatlantic-idf.rcc-acis.org/

Webinar: https://chesapeakestormwater.net/events/projected-chesapeake-idf-curves/







Chesapeake Bay Model Segme
gis.chesapeakebay.net/modeling/
Chesapeake Bay Model Segmentation Viewer
address or place name search
1mi
-76.538 39.230 Degrees
Earthstar Geographics
POWERED BY
esri
Search
7:29 AM
4/4/2024


Chesapeake Bay Model Segme
gis.chesapeakebay.net/modeling/
Chesapeake Bay Model Segmentation Viewer
address or place name search
0.4mi
-76.490 39.196 Degrees
Maxar
POWERED BY
esri
Search
7:32 AM
4/4/2024

Conclusions:

Climate change is a multigenerational challenge for the CBP and is a force multiplier for headwinds to the Chesapeake restoration.

However, the CBP is working on management practices that are effective counters to climate change such as:

- The design and accelerated adoption of stormwater management practices appropriately designed for increased rainfall volumes and intensities that are expected in the future for all counties in the Chesapeake watershed.
- Examination of the top tier agriculture and urban BMPs that are most vulnerable to future climate risk, with an emphasis on practices that could be adapted to become more resilient to future climate conditions of increased rainfall intensities and volumes.
- A quantification of the co-benefits of BMPs that mitigate future climate risk.
- Findings in JAWRA Featured Collection ***Influence of Climate Change on Chesapeake Bay Water Quality.***

The climate change risk to the Chesapeake's living resource-based water quality standards can be effectively managed and the CBP is actively addressing the challenge.